Photocatalysis

DOI: 10.1002/ange.200803630

Visible-Light-Induced Aerobic Oxidation of Alcohols in a Coupled Photocatalytic System of Dye-Sensitized TiO₂ and TEMPO**

Miao Zhang, Chuncheng Chen, Wanhong Ma,* and Jincai Zhao*

Selective catalytic oxidation of alcohols is a fundamental transformation for the synthesis of fine chemicals.^[1] Catalysts based on transition metals, such as palladium, platinium, and copper, have been used for efficient aerobic oxidation of alcohols.^[2] Selective transformation of alcohols by dioxygen can also be achieved in the presence of both transition-metal ions and nitroxyl radicals (such as 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyloxyl, TEMPO).[3] However, the use of large amounts of transition-metal complexes could potentially lead to environmental pollution. Therefore, considerable efforts have been made to find a clean catalytic system without transition metals for the aerobic transformation of alcohols. Recently, a novel transition-metal-free TEMPO-based catalysis system was developed, in which Br₂ and NaNO₂ were used as cocatalysts, and the effective oxidation of alcohols by molecular oxygen was accomplished at 80°C. [4a] To facilitate the removal of the TEMPO catalyst after use, a heterogeneous variant in which TEMPO is supported on SBA-15 was prepared; this, together with NaNO2 as cocatalyst, was also used successfully for the selective oxidation.[4b]


The TiO₂ photocatalyst under UV irradiation is a clean catalytic system widely applied for degradation of organic pollutants by dioxygen. However, for organic synthesis, the selectivity of the TiO2-photocatalyzed oxidation is unsatisfactory.^[5] In photooxidation processes, the photo-generated holes in the valence band of TiO2 have high oxidative potential (2.7 V) and propel the oxidation of the organic compounds (Scheme 1A). But they may oxidize reactant alcohols and product aldehydes (or ketones) indiscriminatingly, resulting in a poor selectivity for the product aldehydes.^[5,6] The selectivity problem actually impedes the application of TiO2 photocatalysis for the synthesis of fine chemicals. To achieve high product selectivity for alcohol oxidation using TiO2 photocatalyst, one must avoid the formation of strongly oxidative holes in the valence band of TiO₂. Novel systems are required that can conduct alcohol oxidation but not generate holes under irradiation.

[*] Dr. M. Zhang, C. Chen, W. Ma, Prof. J. Zhao Key Laboratory of Photochemistry Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing, 100190 (P.R. China) Fax: (+86) 10-82616495 E-mail: jczhao@iccas.ac.cn

wham@iccas.ac.cn

[**] This work was supported by 973 project (No. 2007CB613306) and the NSFC (Nos. 20537010, 20772129, and 20777076) and CAS. TEMPO = 2, 2, 6, 6-tetramethylpiperidinyloxyl.


Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200803630.



Scheme 1. The mechanisms of A) TiO₂ photocatalysis under UV irradiation and B) the dye/TiO2-sensitized reaction under visible-light irradiation. CB: conduction band, VB: valence band.

We and others have found that the dyes undergo effective photosensitized degradation in water in the presence of TiO₂ under visible-light irradiation.^[7] In the dye-sensitized system, the dye, rather than the TiO₂ catalyst, absorbs visible light and is excited (Scheme 1B). More importantly, the visible-light irradiation will not create holes in the valence band of TiO₂. This is distinctly different from the situation of TiO₂ photocatalysis under UV irradiation (Scheme 1 A). In the dye/TiO₂photosensitized reaction, the conduction band of TiO₂ still plays an important role. The appropriate potential relation enables the excited dye molecules to inject electrons into the conduction band of TiO2 particles, yielding dye radicals (Scheme 1B).[7] These dye radicals are transient, active species and decompose to small molecules and even further to CO₂ by complicated dioxygen-involved reactions.

The nitroxyl radical TEMPO can act as an electron donor and be oxidized to TEMPO+, which is an effective and selective oxidant for the transformation of primary alcohols into aldehydes by a two-electron-transfer mechanism.^[3] The produced TEMPOH can regenerate TEMPO by reaction with the oxidative dye radials or O₂. [3a,c] The combination of dye-sensitized TiO2 and TEMPO thus offers an interesting hypothesis: if dye-sensitized TiO₂ can drive the electroncycle of TEMPO (TEMPO \rightarrow TEMPO $^+\rightarrow$ TEMPOH - TEMPO), we should be able to develop a clean catalytic system for the selective oxidation of alcohols.



Scheme 2. The proposed visible-light-induced reaction cycles in the dye/TiO₂/TEMPO system.



Such a photocatalytic system can exhibit good selectivity (owing to TEMPO), operate under visible-light irradiation at ambient temperature, and use molecular oxygen (because of the dye-sensitized TiO₂). The prerequisite is that the dye radicals arising from the dye-sensitized TiO₂ under visible light are effectively and rapidly quenched by TEMPO through an appropriate intermolecular interaction. Dioxygen can trap the electrons in the conduction band of TiO₂ to form O_2^{-1} , H_2O_2 , or H_2O . Scheme 2 illustrates the principles of the coupling redox cycles for the photocatalytic and selective oxidation of alcohols under visible-light irradiation.

To verify the hypothesis, we used the commercial anthraquinone dye Alizarin Red (AR) as the sensitizer and TEMPO as the cocatalyst in a a suspension of TiO₂ in benzotrifluoride (BTF). BTF was chosen as solvent because of its inertness to

Alizarin Red (AR)

oxidation and high solubility for dioxygen. AR was chosen because of its high extinction coefficient ($\varepsilon_{\text{max}} \approx 28000$) at wavelengths of 400-500 nm in the visible region and its redox potential which is suitable for effectively driving the corresponding events of electron transfer from the excited state of AR to the conduction band of TiO₂ and from TEMPO to the dye radical. The redox potential E_{AR*/AR^+}^0 (-1.57 V vs. standard hydrogen electrode, SHE) is lower than $E_{\rm cb}$ of ${
m TiO_2}$ (-0.50 V vs. SHE), and $E^0_{
m AR^+/AR}$ (0.79 V vs. SHE) is higher than $E^0_{
m TEMPO/TEMPO^+}$ (0.64 V vs. SHE) (see Table S2 in the Supporting Information). [8] Moreover, AR can be strongly preanchored on the TiO₂ surface by coordination of the SO₃ group to the surface sites of TiO₂. AR/TiO₂ hardly dissociates into the solution during the photoreaction, so that it can be easily recovered by filtration for reuse (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). In control experiments (Figure 1), we found no obvious transformation of benzyl alcohol in the absence of anyone of TiO2, dye, or dioxygen. The reaction could not take place without visible-light irradiation. Alcohols could also be transferred in this system under UV irradiation. However, in this case, not only the dye but also TiO₂ was excited by UV light and holes were produced, which led to uncontrollable deep oxidations and low selectivity.^[9] When TiO₂ was replaced with SiO₂ nanoparticles, the reaction did not occur; this indicates that the process of electron injection from the excited state of the dye to the conduction band of TiO₂ is important. Benzyl alcohol could also be oxidized by dye/TiO2 under visible-light irradiation in the absence of TEMPO (Figure 1, experiment 3); however, the reaction was much slower and the AR degraded quickly. This is in agreement with the sensitization degradation of dyes by TiO₂ in the presence of dioxygen.^[7] The AR/TiO₂/TEMPO triad system exhibited remarkable reactivity and selectivity for transformation alcohols in the presence of O2 under visible-light irradiation ($\lambda > 450 \text{ nm}$) (Figure 1, experi-




Figure 1. Control experiments for the transformation of benzyl alcohol (0.1 mmol in 1.5 mL BTF) under different conditions; the reaction time was 6 h. Experiments 1: AR/TiO₂/TEMPO +O₂, in the dark; 2: $AR + TEMPO + O_2$, visible light; 3: $AR/TiO_2 + O_2$, visible light; 4: $AR/SiO_2/TEMPO + O_2$, visible light; 5: $TiO_2/TEMPO + O_2$, visible light; 6: AR + O₂, visible light; 7: TEMPO + O₂, visible light; 8: AR/ TiO₂/TEMPO + Ar, visible light; 9: AR/TiO₂/TEMPO + O₂, visible light. In each experiment the 8 mg of the AR/TiO₂ photocatalyst was used (which contained 6.5×10^{-4} mmol AR); 2×10^{-3} mmol TEMPO and 0.1 MPa O₂ were used (if they are listed).

ment 9). The turnover number (TON) is over 100, indicating that this is a catalytic reaction. However, these TON values are low compared to those reported for other oxidation systems. The photonic efficiency is also relatively low for this reaction (0.2% at 525 nm, for the transformation of benzyl alcohol), suggesting that the system can be optimized in further studies.

The conversion, selectivity, and TON of the oxidation of a broad range of alcohols with the proposed system are summarized in Table 1. Of these substrates, aromatic alcohols such as p-methoxyl benzyl alcohol could be easily oxidized with high TON (154) and selectivity (99%, Table 1, entry 4), while aliphatic alcohols (entry 8) exhibited relatively low activity. It is worth noting that allylic alcohols could be oxidized to the corresponding α,β-unsaturated aldehydes with high selectivity (94–98%) without protection of the double bond (Table 1, entries 7 and 9). More interestingly, alcohols containing a N heteroatom, which are generally not reactive in transition-metal-catalyzed reactions, [10] could also be converted with high selectivity (Table 1, entry 10). However, the present system exhibits very low activity for the transformation of secondary cyclic alcohols (Table 1, entry 11) compared with the activity for primary alcohols. This is attributed to the inherent limitations of TEMPO.[3] The photocatalytic reaction could also be carried out on a larger scale. For instance, when the reaction in Table 1, entry 1, was conducted on a large scale, it still exhibited high activity (TON = 665) and selectivity (Table 1, entry 2). Thus, a visible-light-induced system to realize the selective oxidation of alcohols has been assembled successfully. In this system, all components-TiO₂, dye, TEMPO, dioxygen, and visible-light irradiationmake unique contributions. A broad range of alcohols were transformed with greater than 93% selectivity with this system; this selectivity is much higher than that in the TiO₂ photocatalytic oxidation under UV irradiation, especially for aliphatic alcohols.

Zuschriften

Table 1: Aerobic oxidation of alcohols with the $AR/TiO_2/TEMPO$ catalyst system under visible-light irradiation. [13]

Entry	Substrate	Product	<i>t</i> [h]	Conv. [%]	$TON_{dye}^{[b]}$	Sel. [%
1	ОН		18	80	123	98
2 ^[c]	ОН		100	43	665	98
3	Ме	Me	15	87	135	97
4	МеО	MeO	15	100	154	99
5	СІ	cı	15	59	91	99
6	O_2N OH	O_2N	20	49	76	98
7	ОН		13	66	101	98
8	∕∕∕∕он	~~~ ₀	22	20	31	93
9 ^[d]	> —_он	>= <u></u> 0	16	71	218	94
10	ОН	(N)	10	23	36	95
11	ОН	O	16	7	10	99

[a] Reaction conditions: Alcohol (0.1 mmol), AR/TiO₂ (8 mg, containing 6.5×10^{-4} mmol AR), and TEMPO (2×10^{-3} mmol) were stirred in 1.5 mL of BTF under 0.1 MPa O₂ under visible-light irradiation ($\lambda > 450$ nm). [b] TON_{dye} was calculated as $n_{\rm product}/n_{\rm AR}$ [c] Scaled-up reaction: 5 mmol substrate, 40 mg AR/TiO₂, 0.01 mmol TEMPO in 30 mL BTF. [d] 0.2 mmol substrate..




Figure 2. A) The ESR spectrum of the cation radical of AR*. AR/TiO $_2$ (8 mg, containing 6.5×10^{-4} mmol AR) in benzotrifluoride (BTF) (0.5 mL) was stirred and then put into a quartz tube. B) Changes in the ESR spectra of TEMPO and AR*. TEMPO (1×10^{-6} m), AR/TiO $_2$ (8 mg, containing 6.5×10^{-4} mmol AR), and benzyl alcohol (0.1 mmol) were added to BTF (0.5 mL). The mixture was stirred and then put into a quartz tube. In situ irradiation from a laser (532 nm) was used in this experiment.

The results of electron spin resonance (ESR) experiments (Figure 2) also support the photocatalytic cycles proposed Scheme 2. For the sample containing only AR/TiO2, a strong single peak at g = 2.0067 appeared upon laser irradiation at 532 nm which is attributed to the radical of AR. The AR radical is generated by electron injection from the excited state of AR to the conduction band of TiO2.[8] When TEMPO was added to this system, a triplet peak at g =2.0079 with a splitting constant of a_N = 16.8 G was observed before the sample was irradiated. This triplet peak was assigned to the free radical TEMPO. Upon laser irradiation at 532 nm, the intensity of the triplet peak decreased immediately, and the single peak of the AR radical appeared. These changes in the ESR signals correspond to the oxidation of TEMPO to TEMPO⁺ by the AR radical. The broader single peak of the AR radical can be explained by the interaction existing between the two types of radicals.[11] The intensity of the triplet peak of TEMPO

remained at a certain level, suggesting that TEMPO is in the catalytic cycle.

The catalytic cycle involves two important steps of electron transfer: 1) the electron injection from the excited dye molecule to the conduction band of TiO₂ to generate dye radical; and 2) the dye-radical-promoted oxidation of TEMPO to TEMPO⁺, which can selectively oxidize the alcohols to aldehydes. Dioxygen reacts with e_{cb}⁻ to form O₂⁻ (which reacts further to give H₂O₂ or H₂O) and enables the excited state of the dye molecules to inject electrons into the conduction band of TiO₂ incessantly.^[7,8] The byproduct H₂O₂ produced by the trapping of e_{cb}⁻ by dioxygen was also detected by idiometric titration method (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information).

In summary, the selective oxidation of alcohols was achieved under visible-light irradiation in a system containing dye-sensitized TiO_2 and TEMPO. This photocatalytic system employs neither transition-metal ions nor deleterious oxidants such as Br_2 and NO_2^- . The reaction is driven by visible-light irradiation at ambient temperature and uses molecular oxygen as the oxidation agent. It exhibits very good selectivity because the process does not generate holes in valence band of TiO_2 . Our work indicates that the photocatalytic synthesis of many fine chemicals can potentially be achieved with high product selectivity when the catalysis systems are properly assembled.

Experimental Section

Oxidation of alcohols: A mixture of the alcohol (0.1 mmol), AR/TiO $_2$ (8 mg, containing 6.5×10^{-4} mmol AR), and TEMPO (0.002 mmol) in benzotrifluoride (BTF) (1.5 mL) was transferred into a 10 mL Pyrex bottle. The container was filled with pure oxygen at a pressure of 0.1 MPa. The mixture was stirred under irradiation from a 500 W halogen lamp with a light filter to cut off light of wavelength <450 nm. After the reaction, the reaction mixture was filtered through a membrane with pore diameter of 20 μ m and then analyzed with a HITACHI Gas Chromatograph (GC 3900). The structures of the products were confirmed by comparison with standard samples and by GC-MS (Thermo-Finingan; Trace 2000/Trace DSQ).

ESR experiments were conducted with a Bruker EPR ELEXSYS 500 spectrometer equipped with an in situ irradiation source (a Quanta-Ray Nd:YAG laser system with $\lambda = 532$ nm). The same quartz tube was used for all the measurements to minimize errors.

Received: July 25, 2008

Published online: November 4, 2008

Keywords: photooxidation \cdot radical reactions \cdot TEMPO \cdot TiO₂ \cdot visible light

- [1] M. Hudlicky, *Oxidation in Organic Chemistry*, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, **1990**.
- [2] a) T. Mallat, A. Baiker, *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 3037, and references therein; b) J. A. Mueller, M. S. Sigman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 9724; c) S. Velusamy, T. Punniyamurthy, *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 217.
- [3] a) R. A. Sheldon, I. W. C. E. Arends, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1051, and references therein; b) F. Recupero, C. Punta, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3800, and references therein; c) M. F.

- Semmelhack, C. R. Schmid, D. A. Cortés, C. S. Chou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 3374; d) A. Cecchetto, F. Fontana, F. Minisci, F. Recupero, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 6651.
- [4] a) R. Liu, X. Liang, C. Dong, X. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4112; b) B. karimi, A. Biglari, H. C. James, V. Budarin, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 7348; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7210.
- [5] a) J. H. James, J. M. Miller, Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 7, 599; b) P. Pichat, M. Mozzanega, H. Courbon, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1987, 83, 697; c) G. Palmisano, V. Augugliaro, M. Pagliaro, L. Palmisano, Chem. Commun. 2007, 3452; d) S. Yurdakal, G. Palmisano, V. Loddo, V. Augugliaro, L. Palmisano, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1568.
- [6] a) P. V. Kamat, Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 267; b) M. R. Hoffmann,
 S. T. Martin, W. Choi, D. W. Bahnemann, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95,
- [7] a) J. Zhao, T. Wu, K. Wu, K. Oikawa, H. Hidaka, N. Serpone, Environ. Sci. Technol. 1998, 32, 2394; b) T. Wu, G. Liu, J. Zhao, H. Hidaka, N. Serpone, J. Phys. Chem. B. 1999, 103, 4862; c) K. Vinodgopal, D. E. Wynkoop, P. V. Kamat, Environ. Sci. Technol. 1996, 30, 1660; d) W. R. Duncan, W. M. Stier, O. V. Prezhdo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7941.
- [8] a) H. P. Dai, K. K. Shiu, *Electrochim. Acta* 1998, 43, 2709;
 b) S. D. Rychnovsky, R. Vaidyanathan, T. Beauchamp, R. Lin, P. J. Farmer, *J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 64, 6745;
 c) G. Liu, X. Li, J. Zhao, S. Horikoshi, H. Hidaka, *J. Mol. Catal. A* 2000, 153, 221.
- [9] O. S. Mohamed, A. E.-A. M. Gaber, A. A. Abdel-Wahab, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 2002, 148, 205.
- [10] M. Königsmann, N. Donati, D. Stein, H. Schönberg, J. Harmer, A. Screekanth, H, Grützmacher, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 3637; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3567.
- [11] X. Li, J. Han, M. L. Pang, Y. Chen, J. X. Zhang, H. Ma, Z. J. He, J. B. Meng, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 6044.

9879